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#### Abstract

An approximate method for analyzing the free vibration of right triangular plates with arbitrary variable thickness and various boundary conditions is proposed. In this paper, a right triangular plate is considered as a kind of rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness. Therefore, the free-vibration characteristics of any right triangular plate are obtained by analyzing the equivalent rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness. The approximate method is based on the Green function of an equivalent rectangular plate. The Green function of a rectangular plate with arbitrary variable thickness is obtained as a discrete-form solution for deflection of the plate with a concentrated load. By applying the Green function, the free-vibration problem of plate is translated into the eigenvalue problem of matrix.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The determination of the free-vibration frequencies of right triangular plates is an important engineering problem. Thin right triangular plates with uniform thickness have been studied by many researchers. Gorman [1-3] exploited the superposition method for analyzing the problem of obtaining the eigenvalues and mode shapes for the right triangular plates with combinations of clamped-simply supported boundary conditions. Lam et al. [4] obtained the natural frequencies and mode shapes of triangular plates by applying the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Liew [5] analyzed the natural frequencies of triangular plates with curved internal supports. Liew and Lim [6] studied the transverse vibration of trapezoidal plates of variable thickness and showed the natural frequencies of triangular plates as a special case of trapezoidal plates. Saliba [7, 8] reported the modified superposition method to confirm the successful superposition principles and provided numerical data and mode shapes for right triangular plates with all possible combinations of clamped and simply supported boundary conditions. Kim and Dickinson [9] gave a straightforward and simple method by applying the Rayleigh-Ritz method for the free vibration of thin, right triangular plates which may have any combination of free, simply supported or clamped boundary conditions. For the right triangular plates with linearly varying thickness, Mirza and Bijlani [10] solved the problem of the natural frequencies and mode shapes of cantilevered triangular plates with linearly variable thickness by using the finite element
technique. As a special case of trapezoidal plates, Liew et al. [11] analyzed the free vibration of triangular plates with linearly varying thickness in one direction by the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Singh and Saxena [12] investigated a general triangular plate with the thickness varying linearly as a function of the co-ordinates in the plane of the plate. McGee and Giaimo [13] analyzed three-dimensional vibrations of cantilevered right triangular plates with moderate thickness. Kitipornchai et al. [14] investigated free vibration of isosceles triangular Mindlin plates. Liew et al. [15] analyzed three-dimensional vibrations of cantilevered right triangular plates as a case of skewed trapezoids.

In this paper, an approximate method for analyzing the free vibration of thin or moderately thick, right triangular plates with arbitrary variable thickness is proposed. A right triangular plate can be considered finally as a kind of rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness. Namely, a right triangular plate can be translated into a circumscribed rectangular plate whose additional part has the same thickness as that of the original part or extremely thin thickness compared with it and some intermediate point supports along the original diagonal edge according to its boundary condition. Therefore, the free-vibration characteristics of any right triangular plate are obtained by analyzing an equivalent rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness.

The approximate method is based on the Green function of an equivalent rectangular plate. The Green function of a rectangular plate with arbitrary variable thickness is obtained as a discrete-form solution [16] for deflection of the plate with a concentrated load. The discrete-form solution is obtained at each discrete point equally distributed on the plate. By applying the Green function, the free-vibration problem of plate is translated into the eigenvalue problem of matrix. The convergence and accuracy of the numerical solutions for the natural frequency parameter calculated by the proposed method are investigated, and the lowest eight frequency parameters and their modes of free vibration are shown for some right triangular plates.

## 2. DISCRETE GREEN FUNCTION OF RECTANGULAR PLATE WITH NON-UNIFORM THICKNESS AND POINT SUPPORTS

The Green function of a plate-bending problem is given by the displacement function of the plate with a unit concentrated load, so the Green function $w\left(x, y, x_{q}, y_{r}\right) / \bar{P}$ of rectangular plates can be obtained from the fundamental differential equations of rectangular plate with a concentrated load $\bar{P}$ at a point $\left(x_{q}, y_{r}\right)$, non-uniform thickness and point supports at each discrete point $\left(x_{c}, y_{d}\right)$ shown in Figure 1, which are given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial Q_{y}}{\partial y}+\sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} \bar{P}_{1 c d} \delta\left(x-x_{c}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{d}\right)+\bar{P} \delta\left(x-x_{q}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{r}\right)=0,  \tag{1a}\\
\frac{\partial M_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}-Q_{y}+\sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} \bar{P}_{2 c d} \delta\left(x-x_{c}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{d}\right)=0  \tag{1b}\\
\frac{\partial M_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y}-Q_{x}-\sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} \bar{P}_{3 c d} \delta\left(x-x_{c}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{d}\right)=0  \tag{1c}\\
\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}=\frac{M_{x}}{D}, \quad \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}+v \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}=\frac{M_{y}}{D}  \tag{1~d,e}\\
\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}=\frac{2}{(1-v)} \frac{M_{x y}}{D}, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\theta_{x}=\frac{Q_{x}}{G t_{s}}, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+\theta_{y}=\frac{Q_{y}}{G t_{s}} \tag{1f-h}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 1. Position of point support.
where $Q_{x}, Q_{y}$ are the shearing forces, $M_{x y}$ is the twisting moment, $M_{x}, M_{y}$ are the bending moments, $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ are the slopes, $w$ is the deflection, $D=E h^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ the bending rigidity, $E, G$ the modulus, shear modulus of elasticity, $v$ the Poisson ratio, $h=h(x, y)$ the thickness of the plate, $t_{s}=h / 1 \cdot 2, \bar{P}_{1 c d}$ the vertical reaction, $\bar{P}_{2 c d}, \bar{P}_{3 c d}$ the moment reactions around the $x$ - and $y$-axis and $\delta\left(x-x_{c}\right), \delta\left(y-y_{d}\right)$ are the Dirac's delta functions.

By introducing the following non-dimensional expressions,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=\frac{a^{2}}{D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left[Q_{y}, Q_{x}\right], \quad\left[X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right]=\frac{a}{D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left[M_{x y}, M_{y}, M_{x}\right]} \\
{\left[X_{6}, X_{7}, X_{8}\right]=\left[\theta_{y}, \theta_{x}, w / a\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

the differential equations (1a)-(1h) are rearranged together as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{e=1}^{8} & {\left[F_{1 t e} \frac{\partial X_{e}}{\partial \zeta}+F_{2 t e} \frac{\partial X_{e}}{\partial \eta}+F_{3 t e} X_{e}\right]+\sum_{f=1}^{3} \sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} P_{f c d} \delta\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right) \delta\left(\zeta-\zeta_{d}\right) \delta_{f t} } \\
& +P \delta\left(\eta-\eta_{q}\right) \delta\left(\zeta-\zeta_{r}\right) \delta_{1 t}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t=1-8, \mu=b / a, \eta=x / a, \zeta=y / b, D_{0}=E h_{0}^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ is the standard bending rigidity, $h_{0}$ the standard thickness of a plate, $a, b$ the breadth, length of a rectangular plate, $\left[P, P_{1 c d}, P_{2 c d}, P_{3 c d}\right]=\left[\bar{P} a, \bar{P}_{1 c d} a, \bar{P}_{2 c d},-\bar{P}_{3 c d}\right] / D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right) \delta_{f t}$ the Kronecker's delta, and $F_{1 t e}, F_{2 t e}, F_{3 t e}$ are defined in Appendix A.

## 3. DISCRETE SOLUTION OF FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

By applying the method used in reference [16], with a rectangular plate divided vertically into $m$ equal-length parts and horizontally into $n$ equal-length parts as shown in Figure 2, the plate can be considered as a group of discrete points which are the intersections of the $(m+1)$-vertical and $(n+1)$-horizontal dividing lines. In this paper, the rectangular area, $0 \leqslant \eta \leqslant \eta_{i}, 0 \leqslant \zeta \leqslant \zeta_{j}$, corresponding to the arbitrary intersection $(i, j)$ as shown in Figure 2 is denoted as the area $[i, j]$, the intersection $(i, j)$ denoted by - is called the main point of the area $[i, j]$, the intersections denoted by $\bigcirc$ are called the inner-dependent points of the


Figure 2. Discrete points on plate.
area, and the intersections denoted by are called the boundary-dependent points of the area.

By integrating equation (2) over the area [i,j], the following integral equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1 t e} \int_{0}^{\eta_{i}}\left[X_{e}\left(\eta, \zeta_{j}\right)-X_{e}(\eta, 0)\right] \mathrm{d} \eta+F_{2 t e} \int_{0}^{\zeta_{j}}\left[X_{e}\left(\eta_{i}, \zeta\right)-X_{e}(0, \zeta)\right] \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
+F_{3 t e} \int_{0}^{\eta_{i}} \int_{0}^{\zeta_{f}} X_{e}(\eta, \zeta) \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} \zeta
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \quad+\sum_{f=1}^{3} \sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} P_{f c d} u\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right) u\left(\zeta-\zeta_{d}\right) \delta_{f t}+P u\left(\eta-\eta_{q}\right) u\left(\zeta-\zeta_{r}\right) \delta_{1 t}=0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
u\left(\eta_{i}-\eta_{c}\right)=0(i\langle c), 0 \cdot 5(i=c), 1(i\rangle c), \quad u\left(\zeta_{j}-\zeta_{d}\right)=0(j\langle d), 0 \cdot 5(j=d), 1(j\rangle d)
$$

Next, by applying the numerical integration method the simultaneous equation for the unknown quantities $X_{e i j}=X_{e}\left(\eta_{i}, \zeta_{j}\right)$ at the main point, $(i, j)$ of the area $[i, j]$ is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{F_{1 t e} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \beta_{i k}\left(X_{e k j}-X_{e k 0}\right)+F_{2 t e} \sum_{l=0}^{i} \beta_{j l}\left(X_{e i l}-X_{e 0 l}\right)+F_{3 t e} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{i k} \beta_{j l} X_{e k l}\right\} \\
& \quad+\sum_{f=1}^{3} \sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} P_{f c d} u_{i c} u_{j d} \delta_{f t}+P u_{1 q} u_{j r} \delta_{1 t}=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i c}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (i<c), \\
0 \cdot 5 & (i=c), \\
1 & (i>c),
\end{array} \quad u_{j d}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (j\langle d), \\
0 \cdot 5 & (j=d), \\
1 & (j\rangle d),
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{i k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 \cdot 5 & (k=0, i), \\
1 & (k \neq 0, i),
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{j l}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 \cdot 5 & (l=0, j), \\
1 & (l \neq 0, j),
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
\beta_{i k}=\alpha_{i k} / m, \quad \beta_{j l}=\alpha_{j l} / n
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution $X_{p i j}$ of the simultaneous equation (4) is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{p i j}= & \sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=0}^{i} \beta_{i k} A_{p e}\left[X_{e k 0}-X_{e k j}\left(1-\delta_{k i}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{j l} B_{p e}\left[X_{e 0 l}-X_{e i l}\left(1-\delta_{l j}\right)\right] \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{i k} \beta_{j l} C_{p e k l} X_{e k l}\left(1-\delta_{k i} \delta_{l j}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& -\sum_{f=1}^{3} \gamma_{p f} \sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} P_{f c d} u_{i c} u_{j d}-\gamma_{p 1} P u_{i q} u_{j r}, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=1,2, \ldots, 8, i=1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $A_{p e}, B_{p e}, C_{p e k l}, \gamma_{p f}$ are defined in Appendix B.

In equation (5), the quantity $X_{p i j}$ at the main point $(i, j)$ of the area $[i, j]$ is related to the quantities $X_{e k 0}$ and $X_{e 0 l}$ at the boundary-dependent points of the area and the quantities $X_{e k j}, X_{e i l}$ and $X_{e k l}$ at the inner dependent points of the area. With the spreading of the area $[i, j]$ according to the regular order as $[1,1],[1,2], \ldots,[1, n],[2,1],[2,2], \ldots,[2, n], \ldots$, $[m, 1],[m, 2], \ldots,[m, n]$, a main point of smaller area becomes one of the inner-dependent points of the following larger areas. Whenever the quantity $X_{p i j}$ at the main point $(i, j)$ is obtained by using equation (5) in the above-mentioned order, the quantities $X_{e k j}, X_{e i l}$ and $X_{\text {ekl }}$ at the inner-dependent points of the following larger areas can be eliminated by substituting the obtained results into the corresponding terms on the right-hand side of equation (5). By repeating this process, the equation $X_{p i j}$ at the main point is related to only the quantities $X_{v k 0}(v=1,3,4,6,7,8)$ and $X_{s 0 l}(s=2,3,5,6,7,8)$ which are six independent quantities at each boundary-dependent point along the horizontal axis and the vertical axis in Figure 2 respectively. The result is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{p i j}= & \sum_{k=0}^{i}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{1 p i j k 1}\left(Q_{y}\right)_{k 0}+a_{1 p i j k 2}\left(M_{x y}\right)_{k 0}+a_{1 p i j k 3}\left(M_{y}\right)_{k 0} \\
+a_{1 p i j k 4}\left(\theta_{y}\right)_{k 0}+a_{1 p i j k 5}\left(\theta_{x}\right)_{k 0}+a_{1 p i j k 6}(w)_{k 0}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{l=0}^{j}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{2 p i j l 1}\left(Q_{x}\right)_{0 l}+a_{2 p i j 22}\left(M_{x y}\right)_{0 l}+a_{2 p i j l 3}\left(M_{x}\right)_{0 l} \\
+a_{2 p i j l 4}\left(\theta_{y}\right)_{0 l}+a_{2 p i j l 5}\left(\theta_{x}\right)_{0 l}+a_{2 p i j l 6}(w)_{0 l}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{f=1}^{3} \sum_{c=0}^{m} \sum_{d=0}^{n} q_{f p i j c d} P_{f c d}+\bar{q}_{p i j} P \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(Q_{y}\right)=X_{1},\left(Q_{x}\right)=X_{2},\left(M_{x y}\right)=X_{3},\left(M_{y}\right)=X_{4},\left(M_{x}\right)=X_{5},\left(\theta_{y}\right)=X_{6},\left(\theta_{x}\right)=X_{7}$, $(w)=X_{8}$, and $a_{h p i j u v}, q_{f p i j c d}, \bar{q}_{f p i j}$ are defined in Appendix C.

Equation (6) gives the discrete solution of the fundamental differential equation (2) of plate-bending problem, and the discrete Green function of a plate is obtained from $X_{8 i j}=G\left(x_{i}, y_{j}, x_{q}, y_{r}\right) \cdot\left[\bar{P} a / D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]$ which is the displacement at the point $\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ of a plate with a concentrated load $\bar{P}$ at a point $\left(x_{q}, y_{r}\right)$.

## 4. INTEGRAL CONSTANT AND BOUNDARY CONDITION OF RECTANGULAR PLATE

The integral constants $\left(Q_{y}\right)_{k 0},\left(M_{x y}\right)_{k 0}, \ldots,(w)_{k 0},\left(Q_{x}\right)_{0 l},\left(M_{x y}\right)_{0 l}, \ldots,(w)_{0 l}$ being involved in the discrete solution (6) are to be evaluated by the boundary conditions of a rectangular


Figure 3. (a) Plate with simple edges and fixed edges. (b) Plate with simple edges and free edges. (c) Cantilever plate.
plate. The combinations of the integral constants and the boundary conditions for some cases are shown in Figures 3(a)-3(c), in which the integral constants and the boundary conditions at the four corners are shown in the boxes. The integral constants and the boundary conditions along the four edges are given at each of the equally spaced discrete points. In this paper, simply supported, fixed and free edges are denoted by solid line ( - ), thick solid line ( - ) and dotted line ( ---- ) respectively.

## 5. EQUIVALENT RECTANGULAR PLATE OF RIGHT TRIANGULAR PLATE

A right triangular plate is different from a rectangular plate, but it is considered as a kind of rectangular plate by translating into a circumscribed equivalent rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness and intermediate point supports, whose additional part is considered theoretically to have the same thickness as that of the original part or extremely thin thickness compared with the original part according to the boundary condition of the diagonal edge of the original plate.

The thickness of the actual part of the original right triangular plate is expressed by $h_{0}$, and the thickness of the additional part of each equivalent rectangular plate is expressed by $h$ in this paper.

Typical translations from some original right triangular plates to their equivalent rectangular plates are shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4, a fixed diagonal edge of the original
plate is translated into a line with some equally arranged point supports shown by the thick dotted line $(\cdots \cdots)$ and an additional equally thick part with two fixed edges. The values of three reactions $P_{1 c d}, P_{2 c d}, P_{3 c d}$ at each point support of the equivalent plate are determined by the following three conditions:

$$
\theta_{t}=\theta_{t} \sin \alpha+\theta_{y} \cos \alpha=0, \quad \theta_{n}=\theta_{x} \cos \alpha-\theta_{y} \sin \alpha=0, \quad w=0
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\tan ^{-1}(b / a) .
$$

The first condition means that the slope around the tangential axis of the line of point supports is zero at each point support. The second condition means that the slope around the normal axis of the line of point supports is zero, and the third condition means zero deflection at each point support. In Figure 4, a simply supported diagonal edge of the original plate is translated into a line with some equally arranged point supports shown by the thick dotted line $(\cdots \cdots)$ and an additional extremely thin part with two free edges. In this case the values of three reactions $P_{1 c d}, P_{2 c d}, P_{3 c d}$ at each point support of the equivalent plate are determined by the following three conditions:

$$
M_{t}=M_{x} \sin ^{2} \alpha+M_{y} \cos ^{2} \alpha+2 M_{x y} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha=0, \quad \theta_{n}=0, \quad w=0
$$

The first condition means that the bending moment around the tangential axis of the line of point supports is zero at each point support. The second condition means that the slope around the normal axis of the line of point supports is zero, and the third condition means zero deflection at each point support. In Figure 4, a free diagonal edge of the original plate is translated into an additional extremely thin part with two free edges.

In the free-vibration analysis of the equivalent rectangular plates for each right triangular plate, the mass of the additional triangular part is treated as equal to zero.


Figure 4. Triangular plates and their equivalent rectangular plates.

## 6. CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION OF FREE VIBRATION OF RECTANGULAR PLATE WITH NON-UNIFORM THICKNESS AND POINT SUPPORTS

By applying the Green function $w\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x, y\right) / \bar{P}$ which is the displacement at a point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of a plate with a concentrated load $\bar{P}$ at a point $(x, y)$, the displacement amplitude $\hat{w}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ at a point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of the equivalent rectangular plate during the free vibration is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{w}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} \rho h \omega^{2} \hat{w}(x, y)\left[w\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x, y\right) / \bar{P}\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the plate material.
By using the non-dimensional expressions,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{4}=\frac{\rho_{0} h_{0} \omega^{2} a^{4}}{D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}, \quad H(\eta, \zeta)=\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho_{0}} \frac{h(x, y)}{h_{0}}, \quad W(\eta, \zeta)=\frac{\hat{w}(x, y)}{a}, \\
G\left(\eta_{0}, \zeta_{0}, \eta, \zeta\right)=\frac{w\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x, y\right)}{a} \frac{D_{0}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{\bar{P} a},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the standard mass density the integral equation (7) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\eta_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mu \lambda^{4} H(\eta, \zeta) G\left(\eta_{0}, \zeta_{0}, \eta, \zeta\right) W(\eta, \zeta) \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} \zeta . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the numerical integration method mentioned in Section 3, equation (8) is discretely expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa W_{k l}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{m i} \beta_{n j} H_{i j} G_{k l i j} W_{i j}, \quad \kappa=1 /\left(\mu \lambda^{4}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (9) homogeneous linear equations in $(m+1) \times(n+1)$ unknowns $W_{00}$, $W_{01}, \ldots, W_{0 n}, W_{10}, W_{11}, \ldots, W_{1 n}, \sim, W_{m 0}, W_{m 1}, \ldots, W_{m n}$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\beta_{m i} \beta_{n j} H_{i j} G_{k l i j}-\kappa \delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}\right) W_{i j}=0 \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, m, \quad l=0,1, \ldots, n) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic equation of the free vibration of the equivalent rectangular plate is obtained from equation (10) as follows:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{K}_{00} & \mathbf{K}_{01} & \mathbf{K}_{02} & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{0 \mathrm{~m}}  \tag{11}\\
\mathbf{K}_{10} & \mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{1 \mathrm{~m}} \\
\mathbf{K}_{20} & \mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \\
& \cdots & \cdots & & \\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{m} 0} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{m} 1} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{m} 2} & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{mm}}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{K}_{i j}=\beta_{m j}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\beta_{n 0} H_{j 0} G_{i 0 j 0}-\kappa \delta_{i j} & \beta_{n 1} H_{j 1} G_{i 0 j 1} & \beta_{n 2} H_{j 2} G_{i 0 j 2} & \cdots & \beta_{n n} H_{j n} G_{i 0 j n} \\
\beta_{n 0} H_{j 0} G_{i 1 j 0} & \beta_{n 1} H_{j 1} G_{i 1 j 1}-\kappa \delta_{i j} & \beta_{n 2} H_{j 2} G_{i 1 j 2} & \cdots & \beta_{n n} H_{j n} G_{i 1 j n} \\
\beta_{n 0} H_{j 0} G_{i 2 j 0} & \beta_{n 1} H_{j 1} G_{i 2 j 1} & \beta_{n 2} H_{j 2} G_{i 2 j 2}-\kappa \delta_{i j} & \cdots & \beta_{n n} H_{j n} G_{i 2 j n} \\
& \cdots & \cdots & & \\
\beta_{n 0} H_{j 0} G_{i n j 0} & \beta_{n 1} H_{j 1} G_{i n j 1} & \beta_{n 2} H_{j 2} G_{i n j 2} & \cdots & \beta_{n n} H_{j n} G_{i n j n}-\kappa \delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## 7. NUMERICAL WORK

Numerical solutions for the natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ and the mode have been investigated for some thin, non-uniform or moderately thick triangular plates. The convergent values for the natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ have been obtained by using Richardson's extrapolation formula for two case of combinations of numbers of division $m$ and $n$. In all the tables and figures, the symbols s , c and f denote simply supported, clamped and free edges, respectively, the first indicating the conditions at $y=0(\zeta=0)$, the second at the hypotenuse and the third at $x=0(\eta=0)$. In this paper, the numerical results are shown within three cases of boundary conditions scs, sss and cff.

### 7.1. CONVERGENCE AND ACCURACY OF NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR RIGHT TRIANGULAR PLATES WITH UNIFORM OR LINEARLY VARYING THICKNESS

In order to examine the convergence of numerical values for the natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ obtained from the proposed method and determine the suitable values of the thickness ratio $h / h_{0}$ of the extremely thin part thickness $h$ and the actual part thickness $h_{0}$ and the numbers of division $m$ and $n$, the lowest eight natural frequency parameters for three or two cases of right triangular plates shown in Figure 5 were analyzed. In this case, these plates have uniform thickness and an aspect ratio $b / a=1$. The results are shown in Figures 6(a)-6(c), 7(a) and 7(b). These show a good convergence of numerical solutions by the proposed method. After studying the curves of Figures 6(a)-6(c), 7(a) and 7(b), it seems actually sufficient to set the thickness ratio $h / h_{0}<\frac{1}{4}$ for the right triangular plate with simply supported or free diagonal edge and the number of division $m(=n)>12$ for scs right triangular plates and $m(=n)>10$ for sss and cff right triangular plates.

In order to demonstrate the accuracy of numerical results for the natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ obtained from the proposed method, the lowest eight natural frequency parameters for the four right triangular plates with two aspects ratios, $b / a=1$ and 1.5 are presented in Tables 1(a)-(c) and compared with previously published results by Kim and


Figure 5. Right triangular plates with various boundary conditions.



Figure 6. (a) Convergence of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of scs isosceles right triangular plate $h=h_{0}$; (b) Convergence of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of sss isosceles right triangular plate $h=h_{0} / 5$; (c) Convergence of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of cff isosceles right triangular plate $h=h_{0} / 5$.


Figure 7. (a) Convergence of natural frequency parameter of sss right triangular plate with uniform thickness $b / a=1, m=14,16$; (b) Convergence of natural frequency parameter of cff right triangular platewith uniform thickness $b / a=1, m=14,16$.

Table 1(a)
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for scs right triangular plates

| Mode | $b / a=1$, uniform |  |  |  | $b / a=1 \cdot 5$, uniform |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [9] | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [9] |
|  | 16 | 16 |  |  | 16 | 18 |  |  |
| 1 | 8.602 | 8.548 | 8.343 | $8 \cdot 305$ | $7 \cdot 196$ | $7 \cdot 149$ | 6.973 | 6.940 |
| 2 | $11 \cdot 945$ | 11.804 | 11.272 | 11.267 | $9 \cdot 785$ | 9.669 | $9 \cdot 233$ | $9 \cdot 230$ |
| 3 | 13.374 | 13.246 | 12.766 | 12.726 | 11.356 | 11.238 | 10.796 | 10.768 |
| 4 | 15.697 | 15.396 | 14.261 | 14.378 | 12.598 | $12 \cdot 365$ | 11.486 | 11.556 |
| 5 | 16.758 | 16.483 | $15 \cdot 446$ | $15 \cdot 575$ | 14.177 | 13.924 | 12.973 | 13.080 |
| 6 | 18.492 | 18.220 | 17.198 | $17 \cdot 288$ | 15.616 | $15 \cdot 227$ | 13.762 | 13.915 |
| 7 | 19.902 | 19.334 | $17 \cdot 193$ | - | 15.828 | 15.547 | 14.489 | - |
| 8 | $20 \cdot 709$ | $20 \cdot 176$ | $18 \cdot 170$ | - | 17.363 | $16 \cdot 898$ | $15 \cdot 146$ | - |

Table 1(b)
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for sss right triangular plates

| Mode | $b / a=1$, variable |  |  |  | $b / a=1 \cdot 5$, uniform |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [11] | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [9] |
|  | 14 | 16 |  |  | 14 | 16 |  |  |
| 1 | 6.914 | $6 \cdot 826$ | 6.539 | $6 \cdot 592$ | $6 \cdot 291$ | $6 \cdot 211$ | 5.952 | 5.995 |
| 2 | 9.923 | $9 \cdot 705$ | 8.992 | $9 \cdot 162$ | 8.981 | 8.793 | $8 \cdot 180$ | $8 \cdot 298$ |
| 3 | 11.405 | 11.229 | $10 \cdot 652$ | $10 \cdot 693$ | 10.492 | $10 \cdot 312$ | 9.726 | $9 \cdot 820$ |
| 4 | $13 \cdot 187$ | $12 \cdot 881$ | $11 \cdot 882$ | 11.887 | $11 \cdot 855$ | 11.525 | 10.447 | $10 \cdot 640$ |
| 5 | $14 \cdot 590$ | $14 \cdot 149$ | $12 \cdot 709$ | 13.074 | 13.397 | 13.071 | $12 \cdot 007$ | $12 \cdot 153$ |
| 6 | $16 \cdot 303$ | $15 \cdot 918$ | $14 \cdot 662$ | 14.623 | $14 \cdot 929$ | 14.478 | 13.008 | $13 \cdot 028$ |
| 7 | $16 \cdot 763$ | $16 \cdot 327$ | 14.905 | $14 \cdot 830$ | $15 \cdot 134$ | $14 \cdot 693$ | $13 \cdot 254$ | - |
| 8 | $18 \cdot 155$ | $17 \cdot 565$ | $15 \cdot 641$ | $15 \cdot 746$ | $16 \cdot 614$ | 16.069 | $14 \cdot 289$ | - |

## Table 1(c)

Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for cff right triangular plates

| Mode | $b / a=1$, variable |  |  |  | $b / a=1 \cdot 5$, uniform |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m |  | Extrapolation | Reference [11] | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [9] |
|  | 14 | 16 |  |  | 14 | 16 |  |  |
| 1 | $2 \cdot 714$ | 2.722 | $2 \cdot 748$ | 2.763 | 1.682 | 1.687 | 1.705 | 1.736 |
| 2 | $4 \cdot 193$ | $4 \cdot 196$ | 4.205 | $4 \cdot 217$ | $3 \cdot 488$ | 3.492 | $3 \cdot 506$ | $3 \cdot 563$ |
| 3 | 4.889 | 4.908 | 4.917 | 5.048 | $4 \cdot 190$ | $4 \cdot 204$ | $4 \cdot 250$ | 4.322 |
| 4 | 5.768 | 5.765 | 5.756 | 5.729 | $5 \cdot 505$ | $5 \cdot 506$ | 5.509 | $5 \cdot 576$ |
| 5 | 6.617 | 6.584 | 6.476 | 6.598 | 6.522 | 6.537 | 6.588 | 6.695 |
| 6 | 7.504 | 7.470 | 7.361 | 7.353 | 7.656 | 7.632 | 7.555 | $7 \cdot 660$ |
| 7 | 8.027 | 7.973 | 7.793 | 7.894 | 8.289 | 8.270 | 8.210 | - |
| 8 | 8.883 | 8.848 | 8.733 | 8.775 | 9.071 | 9.041 | 8.941 | - |

Dickinson [9] for the uniform thickness plates and by Liew et al. [11] for the linearly varying thickness plates. The thickness ratio $h_{0} / a$ of these plates is 0.01 . In these examples, the scs plate and the sss and cff plates with the aspect ratio $b / a=1.5$ have uniform thickness and the sss and cff plates with the aspect ratio $b / a=1$ have linearly varying thickness, and the thickness variation of the triangular plates is as follows:

$$
\text { sss plate: } \quad h(\eta, \zeta)=h_{0}(1-0 \cdot 5 \zeta), \quad \text { cff plate: } \quad h(\eta, \zeta)=h_{0}(1-\zeta) .
$$

Tables 1(a)-1(c) show that a close agreement is achieved. In Figures 8(a)-8(c) the corresponding nodal patterns are presented, and these are also confirmed to agree with the nodal patterns given in references $[9,11]$.


Figure 8. (a) Nodal patterns for scs right triangular plate: (a) $a / b=1$; (b) $b / a=1 \cdot 5$. (b) Nodal patterns for sss right triangular plate: (a) $a / b=1$; (b) $b / a=1 \cdot 5$. (c) Nodal patterns for cff right triangular plate: (a) $a / b=1$; (b) $b / a=1 \cdot 5$.

### 7.2. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR RIGHT TRIANGULAR PLATES WITH VARIABLE THICKNESS

Numerical solutions for the lowest eight values of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of scs and cff right triangular plates with two aspects ratios, $b / a=1$ and 1.5 were analyzed. The thickness variation of each right triangular plate is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { scs plate: } & h(\eta, \zeta)=h_{0}[1+\sin 0 \cdot 5 \pi(\eta+\zeta)], \\
\text { cff plate: } & h(\eta, \zeta)=h_{0}\left[\left(1-0 \cdot 5\left(\eta^{2}+\zeta^{2}\right)\right) .\right.
\end{array}
$$

The thickness ratio $h_{0} / a$ of these plates is $0 \cdot 01$. The results are presented in Tables 2(a) and 2(b). The corresponding nodal patterns are presented in Figures 9(a) and 9(b).

### 7.3. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR RIGHT TRIANGULAR PLATES WITH MODERATE THICKNESS

Numerical solutions for the lowest eight values of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ and the corresponding nodal patterns of cff moderately thick right triangular plates with uniform

Table 2(a)
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for scs right triangular plates with variable thickness

| Mode | $b / a=1$ |  |  | $b / a=1.5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | $m$ |  | Extrapolation |
|  | 16 | 18 |  | 16 | 18 |  |
| 1 | $11 \cdot 340$ | $11 \cdot 272$ | 11.014 | $9 \cdot 486$ | $9 \cdot 440$ | $9 \cdot 269$ |
| 2 | 15.920 | $15 \cdot 756$ | $15 \cdot 139$ | 13.016 | $12 \cdot 880$ | $12 \cdot 371$ |
| 3 | 17.268 | $17 \cdot 126$ | $16 \cdot 593$ | 14.750 | $14 \cdot 590$ | $13 \cdot 988$ |
| 4 | $20 \cdot 818$ | $20 \cdot 468$ | $19 \cdot 151$ | 16.665 | $16 \cdot 390$ | $15 \cdot 357$ |
| 5 | 21.953 | 21.631 | $20 \cdot 420$ | 18.729 | 18.435 | $17 \cdot 328$ |
| 6 | 23.634 | 23.288 | $21 \cdot 985$ | $20 \cdot 582$ | 20.077 | $18 \cdot 178$ |
| 7 | $26 \cdot 181$ | $25 \cdot 536$ | $23 \cdot 105$ | $20 \cdot 176$ | 19.919 | 18.953 |
| 8 | 26.982 | $26 \cdot 389$ | $24 \cdot 156$ | $22 \cdot 957$ | 22.407 | $20 \cdot 336$ |

Table 2(b)
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for cff right triangular plates with variable thickness

| Mode | $b / a=1$ |  |  | $b / a=1 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | $m$ |  | Extrapolation |
|  | 14 | 16 |  | 14 | 16 |  |
| 1 | $2 \cdot 479$ | $2 \cdot 487$ | $2 \cdot 513$ | 1.673 | 1.679 | 1.699 |
| 2 | $4 \cdot 504$ | $4 \cdot 514$ | $4 \cdot 545$ | 3.243 | 3.249 | $3 \cdot 270$ |
| 3 | $5 \cdot 459$ | $5 \cdot 473$ | $5 \cdot 520$ | 3.978 | 3.992 | 4.037 |
| 4 | 6.724 | 6.767 | 6.908 | 4.990 | 4.992 | 4.997 |
| 5 | 7.885 | 7.933 | 8.092 | 5.913 | 5.929 | 5.980 |
| 6 | 9.051 | 9.075 | $9 \cdot 154$ | 6.924 | 6.912 | $6 \cdot 870$ |
| 7 | 9.787 | 9.749 | 9.623 | $7 \cdot 430$ | $7 \cdot 414$ | $7 \cdot 363$ |
| 8 | 10.357 | $10 \cdot 331$ | $10 \cdot 248$ | 8.353 | 8.333 | 8.268 |



Figure 9. (a) Nodal patterns for scs right triangular plate with variable thickness: (a) $a / b=1$; (b) $b / a=1 \cdot 5$. (b) Nodal patterns for cff right triangular plate with variable thickness: (a) $a / b=1$; (b) $b / a=1 \cdot 5$.


Figure 10. Convergence of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of cff moderately thick uniform right triangular plate $h_{0} / a=0 \cdot 061, h_{0} / h=10(b / a=1)$.
and variable thickness were analyzed. The aspect ratio $b / a$ is equal to 1 , and the thickness ratio $h_{0} / a$ is equal to $0 \cdot 061$. The thickness variation of the right triangular plate with variable thickness is as follows:

$$
\text { cff plate: } \quad h(\eta, \zeta)=h_{0}(1-0.4 \zeta)
$$

The results for the convergence, nodal pattern and natural frequency are shown in Figures 10,11 and Table 3 respectively. Figure 10 shows a good convergence of numerical solutions

(b)

Figure 11. Nodal patterns for cff right triangular plates with moderate thickness: (a) uniform; (b) variable.
Table 3
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for cff moderately thick right triangular plates

| Mode | Uniform |  |  |  | Variable |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [13] | $m$ |  | Extrapolation | Reference [13] |
|  | 12 | 14 |  |  | 12 | 14 |  |  |
| 1 | 2.438 | $2 \cdot 471$ | 2.563 | 2.541 | $2 \cdot 473$ | $2 \cdot 482$ | 2.506 | 2.569 |
| 2 | 4.775 | 4.778 | 4.788 | 4.964 | 4.543 | 4.554 | 4.583 | $4 \cdot 713$ |
| 3 | 5.626 | 5.589 | $5 \cdot 488$ | 5.871 | $5 \cdot 343$ | 5.359 | $5 \cdot 402$ | 5.580 |
| 4 | 7.315 | 7.396 | 7.621 | 7.689 | 6.749 | 6.826 | 7.037 | 7.095 |
| 5 | 8.516 | 8.594 | 8.811 | 8.997 | 7.906 | 7.914 | 7.937 | $8 \cdot 242$ |
| 6 | 9.584 | 9.671 | 9.913 | - | 8.940 | 8.998 | $9 \cdot 157$ | - |
| 7 | 10.336 | 10.293 | $10 \cdot 174$ | - | 9.651 | 9.573 | 9.359 | - |
| 8 | $11 \cdot 172$ | $11 \cdot 119$ | $10 \cdot 971$ | - | $10 \cdot 440$ | 10.377 | $10 \cdot 202$ | - |

Table 4
Natural frequency parameters $\lambda$ for sss moderately thick right triangular plate

|  | $m$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode | 10 | 12 |  | Extrapolation | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Reference <br>

{[14]}\end{array}\right]\)
by the proposed method, and Table 3 shows a satisfactory agreement with the previously published results by McGee and Giaimo [13].

Other numerical solutions for the lowest eight values of natural frequency parameter $\lambda$ of sss moderately thick right triangular plates with uniform thickness were analyzed. The aspect ratio $b / a$ is equal to 1 , and the thickness ratio $h_{0} / a$ is equal to 0.0707 . The results for natural frequency are shown in Table 4, and it shows a satisfactory agreement with the previously published results by Kitipornchai et al. [14].

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

Under the concept that a right triangular plate is considered as a kind of rectangular plate with non-uniform thickness, an approximate method was proposed for analyzing the free-vibration problem of various types of right triangular plates by using the Green function of equivalent rectangular plates with non-uniform thickness.

As a result of numerical works, it was shown that the numerical solutions by the proposed method has a good convergence and satisfactory accuracy for various types of thin and moderately thick right triangular plates with uniform and variable thickness.
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## APPENDIX A

$F_{111}=F_{123}=F_{134}=F_{146}=F_{167}=F_{178}=F_{188}=1, \quad F_{212}=F_{225}=F_{233}=F_{257}=F_{266}$ $=\mu$,
$F_{156}=v, \quad F_{247}=v \mu, \quad F_{322}=F_{331}=-\mu, \quad F_{344}=F_{355}=-I, \quad F_{363}=-J, \quad F_{372}=-\kappa$, $F_{377}=1, \quad F_{381}=-\mu \kappa, \quad F_{386}=\mu, \quad$ other $F_{1 t e}, F_{2 t e}, F_{3 t e}=0$,
$I=\mu\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(h_{0} / h\right)^{3}, \quad J=2 \mu(1+v)\left(h_{0} / h\right)^{3}, \quad \kappa=(1 / 10)(E / G)\left(h_{0} / a\right)^{2}\left(h_{0} / h\right)$.

## APPENDIX B

$A_{p 1}=\gamma_{p 1}, \quad A_{p 2}=0, \quad A_{p 3}=\gamma_{p 2}, \quad A_{p 4}=\gamma_{p 3}, \quad A_{p 5}=0, \quad A_{p 6}=\gamma_{p 4}+v \gamma_{p 5}, \quad A_{p 7}=\gamma_{p 6}$,
$A_{p 8}=\gamma_{p 7}, \quad B_{p 1}=0, \quad B_{p 2}=\mu \gamma_{p 1}, \quad B_{p 3}=\mu \gamma_{p 3}, \quad B_{p 4}=0, \quad B_{p 5}=\mu \gamma_{p 2}, \quad B_{p 6}=\mu \gamma_{p 6}$,
$B_{p 7}=\mu\left(v \gamma_{p 4}+\gamma_{p 5}\right), \quad B_{p 8}=\gamma_{p 8}, \quad C_{p 1 k l}=\mu\left(\gamma_{p 3}+\kappa_{k l} \gamma_{p 7}\right), \quad C_{p 2 k l}=\mu \gamma_{p 2}+\kappa_{k l} \gamma_{p 8}$,
$C_{p 3 k l}=J_{k l} \gamma_{p 6}, \quad C_{p 4 k l}=I_{k l} \gamma_{p 4}, \quad C_{p 5 k l}=I_{k l} \gamma_{p 5}, \quad C_{p 6 k l}=-\mu \gamma_{p 7}, \quad C_{p 7 k l}=-\gamma_{p 8}$,
$C_{p 8 k l}=0, \quad\left[\gamma_{p k}\right]=\left[\bar{\gamma}_{p k}\right]^{-1}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{11}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{12}=\mu \beta_{j j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{22}=-\mu \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{23}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{25}=\mu \beta_{j j}$, $\bar{\gamma}_{31}=-\mu \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{33}=\mu \beta_{j j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{34}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{44}=-I_{i j} \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{46}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{47}=\mu \nu \beta_{j j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{55}=-I_{i j} \beta_{i j}$, $\bar{\gamma}_{56}=v \beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{57}=\mu \beta_{j j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{63}=-J_{i j} \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{66}=\mu \beta_{j j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{67}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{71}=-\mu \kappa_{i j} \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{76}=\mu \beta_{i j}$, $\bar{\gamma}_{78}=\beta_{i i}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{82}=-\kappa_{i j} \beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{87}=\beta_{i j}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{88}=\beta_{j j}, \quad$ other $\bar{\gamma}_{p k}=0, \quad \beta_{i j}=\beta_{i i} \beta_{j j}$.

## APPENDIX C

$a_{11 i 0 i 1}=a_{13 i 0 i 2}=a_{14 i 0 i 3}=a_{16 i 0 i 4}=a_{17 i 0 i 5}=a_{18 i 0 i 6}=1, \quad a_{15 i 0 i 3}=v$,
$a_{220 j j 1}=a_{230 j j 2}=a_{250 j j 3}=a_{260 j j 4}=a_{270 j j 5}=a_{280 j j 6}=1, \quad a_{240 j j 3}=v, \quad a_{230002}=0$,
$a_{h p i j u v}=\sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sum_{k=0}^{i} \beta_{i k} A_{p e}\left[a_{h e k 0 u v}-a_{h e k j u v}\left(1-\delta_{k i}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{i} \beta_{j l} B_{p e}\left[a_{h e 0 l u v}-a_{h e i l u v}\left(1-\delta_{l j}\right)\right] \\ +\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{i k} \beta_{j l} C_{p e k l} a_{h e k l u v}\left(1-\delta_{k i} \delta_{l j}\right),\end{array}\right\}$
where $h=1,2, p=1,2, \ldots, 8, i=1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, n, v=1,2, \ldots, 6, u=0,1, \ldots, i$ $(h=1), 0,1, \ldots, j(h=2)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{f p i j c d}= & \sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=0}^{i} \beta_{i k} A_{p e}\left[q_{f e k 0 c d}-q_{f e k j c d}\left(1-\delta_{k i}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{i} \beta_{j l} B_{p e}\left[q_{f e o l c d}-q_{f e i l c d}\left(1-\delta_{l j}\right)\right] \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{i k} \beta_{j l} C_{p e k l} q_{f e k l c d}\left(1-\delta_{k i} \delta_{l j}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& -\gamma_{p f} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} u_{i k} u_{j l} \bar{u}_{f k l},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{u}_{f k l}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { non-existing point support, } \\
1 & \text { existing point support, }\end{cases} \\
\bar{q}_{p i j}=\sum_{e=1}^{8}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=0}^{i} \beta_{i k} A_{p e}\left[\bar{q}_{e k 0}-\bar{q}_{e k j}\left(1-\delta_{k i}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=0}^{i} \beta_{j l} B_{p e}\left[\bar{q}_{e 0 l}-\bar{q}_{e i l}\left(1-\delta_{l j}\right)\right] \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \beta_{i k} \beta_{j l} C_{p e k l} \bar{q}_{e k l}\left(1-\delta_{k i} \delta_{l j}\right) \\
-\gamma_{p 1} u_{i q} u_{j r} .
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

